

MINUTES OF MEETING OF WAREHAM CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Date of Meeting: February 16, 2011

I. CALL MEETING TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 7:00 P.M.

II. ROLL CALL

Members Present: John Connolly, Chairman
Ken Baptiste
Louis Caron
Doug Westgate
Sandy Slavin
Mark Carboni (Arrived at _____)
David Pichette, Agent

Members Absent: Donald Rogers

III. PRELIMINARY BUSINESS

A. Joseph Mulkern – Applicant/Associate Member

Present before the Commission: Joseph Mulkern

Mr. Mulkern introduced himself to the Commission & briefly discussed his experience & interest in serving on the Commission.

MOTION: Mr. Baptiste moved the Commission make a recommendation to the BOS to appoint Joseph Mulkern to the Conservation Commission as an Associate member. Mr. Westgate seconded.

VOTE: Unanimous (5-0-0)

NOTE: The meeting proceeded w/ item V. Continued Public Hearings.

A. NOI – James Nee, Jr., c/o Collins Civil Engineering Group, Inc. – SE76-2159

Present before the Commission:

Mr. Pichette stated the engineer for this project will be unable to attend tonight's meeting due to conflict, but the engineer did submit the abutter notification cards. Mr. Pichette

described the project. The property is located at 10 Wareham Lake Shore Drive. The project involves updating a septic system in the buffer zone to bordering vegetative wetland along Glen Charlie Pond. The existing cesspool will be replaced w/ a new Title V system. The proposed system is entirely w/in the buffer zone to the wetland due to the small lot size, but has been located at the furthest location on the site from the wetland. The proposed leach field will be approx. 70 ft. from the wetland. The limit of work is approx. 50 ft. from the edge of the wetland. A DEP file number has been assigned. He recommended the issuance of an OOC w/ standard conditions.

Audience members had no questions or comments.

MOTION: Mr. Caron moved to close the public hearing for James Nee, Jr. Mr. Baptiste seconded.

VOTE: Unanimous (5-0-0)

MOTION: Mr. Caron moved to grant an Order of Conditions w/ standard stipulations for James Nee, Jr. Mr. Baptiste seconded.

VOTE: Unanimous (5-0-0)

B. NOI – Rose Cherubini, c/o G.A.F. Engineering, Inc. – SE76-2158

Present before the Commission: Bryan Grady, G.A.F. Engineering, Inc.

Mr. Pichette described the project. The property is located at 21 Over Jordan Road. The project involves upgrading a septic system in the buffer zone to a coastal bank. An existing septic cesspool will be replaced w/ a new Title V septic system. The proposed system is mostly w/in the buffer zone to a coastal bank. The proposed leach field is approx. 55 ft. from the top of the coastal bank & the leach field is approx. 80 ft. from the high tide line. The limit of work is approx. 30 ft. from the top of the coastal bank. The area of proposed work is relatively flat. Erosion control is proposed between the project & the resource area. A DEP file number has been assigned. He recommended the issuance of an OOC w/ standard conditions.

Audience members had no questions or comments.

MOTION: Mr. Westgate moved to close the public hearing for Rose Cherubini. Mr. Caron seconded.

MOTION: Mr. Westgate moved to grant an Order of Conditions w/ standard conditions for Rose Cherubini. Mr. Caron seconded.

VOTE: (4-0-1)

C. NOI – John Bessette, c/o J.C. Engineering, Inc. – SE76-2157

Present before the Commission: Mike Pimental, J.C. Engineering, Inc.

Mr. Pichette described the project. The property is located at 47 Canedy St. (Rose Point). The project involves the construction of a single family dwelling w/ associated structures w/in the riverfront area of the Weweantic River. A 26x26 ft. dwelling is proposed w/ driveway, landscaping, & utilities. The dwelling would be serviced by Town water & sewer. Construction activities would result in the alteration of 2,589 sq. ft. of riverfront area. The lot has been existence prior to 1996 & it does have some grandfathering protection of the riverfront laws. The site is fairly flat & only minor grade changes are proposed. The driveway will be 20x50 ft. & the plan shows it to be paved. At the last meeting, this issue was discussed & the applicant stated he would be amendable to having it be gravel or some other pervious material. A DEP file number has been assigned. The hearing had been continued because an abutter had a concern re: a vernal pool on the property. The hearing was continued to further evaluate this. He went to the property to assess the area. There is a depression, but he does not feel it is a vernal pool. There is not evidence of any water stains to indicate water would stand on this area for a length of time that would be necessary for it to be functioning as a vernal pool. The general area is small & most likely doesn't hold a large volume of water. He doesn't believe it is a vernal pool. To verify this officially, it needs to be looked at in the month of April when vernal pools become active. He recommends approving the project, but if the Commission wants to wait to evaluate the depression; that is their choice.

Ms. Slavin doesn't see any evidence either of a vernal pool.

Brief discussion ensued.

MOTION: Mr. Baptiste moved to close the public hearing for John Bessette. Mr. Westgate seconded.

VOTE: Unanimous (5-0-0)

MOTION: Mr. Baptiste moved to grant an Order of Conditions w/ standard stipulations & the stipulation to have a pervious material utilized for the driveway. Mr. Westgate seconded.

VOTE: Unanimous (5-0-0)

NOTE: Mr. Carboni arrived at this time.

IV. PUBLIC HEARINGS

A. RDA – A.D. Makepeace Company, c/o G.A.F. Engineering, Inc.

The public hearing notice was read into the record.

Present before the Commission: Brian Grady, G.A.F. Engineering, Inc.

Mr. Pichette described the project. The property is located at Tihonet Village. The project involves the installation of a septic tank & septic piping in the buffer zone to bordering vegetative wetland & the buffer zone to an existing canal way. A 1500 gallon septic tank & 120 ft. of pvc piping will be installed all w/in the existing gravel parking area of Tihonet Village. No grade changes are proposed & silt fence will be installed between the work area & the resource area. He recommended approval of the work w/ a Negative Determination #2.

Audience members had no questions or comments.

MOTION: Mr. Baptiste moved to close the public hearing for A.D. Makepeace Company. Mr. Westgate seconded.

VOTE: Unanimous (6-0-0)

MOTION: Mr. Caron moved to grant a Negative Determination #2 for A.D. Makepeace Company. Mr. Baptiste seconded.

VOTE: Unanimous (6-0-0)

B. RDA – ADM Maple Springs II, LLC, c/o J.C. Engineering, Inc.

The public hearing notice was read into the record.

Present before the Commission:

Mr. Pichette described the project. The property is located at 1 Sycamore St. The project involves the demolition of an existing dwelling & the reconstruction of a new dwelling w/in a coastal flood zone. An existing two-family dwelling will be demolished & a new two-family dwelling will be reconstructed in the same general location w/in a coastal flood zone AE, elevation 15. There are no grade changes proposed & no work in the buffer zone to any other resource areas. This location is surrounded by existing development. All construction debris will be removed from the site. He recommended approval of the project w/ issuance of a Negative Determination #2.

Audience members had no questions or comments.

MOTION: Mr. Westgate moved to close the public hearing for ADM Maple Springs II, LLC. Mr. Baptiste seconded.

VOTE: Unanimous (6-0-0)

MOTION: Mr. Westgate moved to grant a Negative Determination #2 for ADM Maple Springs II, LLC. Mr. Carboni seconded.

VOTE: Unanimous (6-0-0)

C. Amend OOC – A.D. Makepeace Company, c/o Beals & Thomas – SE76-2105

The public hearing notice was read into the record.

Present before the Commission: Tom Berkley, AD Makpeace Co.
Stephanie Minihane, Beals & Thomas

Mr. Pichette described the project. The property is located at the Rosebrook Medical Office Building & along the entrance to that off of Lou Ave. The request is to amend an OOC to allow tree clearing w/in the buffer zone to bordering vegetative wetland & w/in the riverfront area of Rose Brook. The existing OOC was for the installation of the roadway to the site & associated drainage, etc. The reason for the request is to allow for additional view of the building from Rte. 28. It is proposed to remove ten Oak & Maple trees in the buffer zone to wetland (area B on site plan). Also proposed is to remove approx. 15 trees from a wooded area adjacent to the new office building (area A on site plan). This will result in addition 6,900 sq. ft. of riverfront area alteration. The riverfront area will not be further altered & remain natural. Trees proposed to be removed will be marked in the field w/ ribbons & also some pine trees that are marked in the field will have limbs removed. He recommended this hearing be continued so that members who have not been out to the sight can go look at it.

Ms. Slavin stated she visited the site. She asked if most of the trees are hardwood. Ms. Minihane stated they are all oak trees that will be removed & the pine trees that were flagged will also be removed. In the buffer zone, there are also two Red Maple to be removed. Ms. Slavin asked if any of the hardwood trees are dead. Ms. Minihane stated they looked to be alive. Mr. Berkley stated in the buffer zone, the oak are bigger & some oak along the river may be dead. Ms. Slavin asked if this project could be put off until the spring to see what the canopy is like. She asked if these trees were selected when there were leaves on them. Mr. Berkley stated they were selected when the leaves were on the trees. He stated the intent is not to cut all the trees down, but to be selective of what trees would be taken. He discussed how the trees were chosen. Brief discussion ensued re: the small White Pine that in the future may grow into the canopy in the future.

Mr. Baptiste expressed concern re: the cutting of these trees. He recalls the roadway leading into the site was re-aligned to avoid cutting any trees. Mr. Pichette stated the road re-alignment was in a different part of the site. Discussion ensued re: pruning to be done to trees that are flagged.

No-one from the audience had any questions or comments.

MOTION: Mr. Westgate moved to continue the public hearing for A.D. Makepeace Company to March 2, 2011. Mr. Caron seconded.

Unanimous (6-0-0)

D. NOI – Bay Trust, c/o G.A.F. Engineering, Inc. – SE76-2164

The public hearing notice was read into the record.

Present before the Commission: Brian Grady, G.A.F. Engineering, Inc.

Mr. Pichette described the project. The property is located at 54 Winship Ave. (Burgess Point). The project involves the reconstruction & expansion of a floating dock system. The structure consists of a stone wharf w/ a ramp & floating dock system. The stone wharf portion of the structure is currently licensed, but the floating dock system is not licensed. The intent is to expand the existing non-licensed float system. The float system is approx. 454 sq. ft. which is above the limit in the By-Law which is 300 sq. ft. The request is to extend the float system to get to deeper water & make the floats larger for safety. The square footage of the float system would be over 600 ft. The floating dock would be extended 40 ft. further out. This is a community dock utilized by 15 property owners in the area. The overall length of the dock as proposed, meets the Bylaw standards in re: to its overall length, but it doesn't conform to the square footage re: the floats. He has spoken to Mr. Grady re: this matter, & Mr. Pichette recommended the float system be reduced in terms of the square footage so there is no increase in square footage than what is currently being utilized. This may mean that a thick section of pier be constructed between the stone wharf & the floats. He questioned issues re: the eel grass & when the review was done re: eel grass to make sure it conforms to the Bylaw requirements. Also, in the Bylaw, it states floats should be placed in the water at the far end of the proposed structure to get a sense of how far out this will be. There is a mooring fairly close to the structure & he questioned how this will be constructed. He spoke to the Harbormaster & he was told the mooring could be moved. Comments were received from the Div. of Marine Fisheries stating the site is mapped shellfish habitat for oysters, bay scallops, soft shell clams, & quahogs. They also mentioned the eel grass. A DEP file number has been assigned. He recommended a continuance of the hearing to review the issues raised can be looked at.

Ms. Slavin asked re: why the request for the floats to go out into deeper water. Mr. Grady stated several members have boats that require deeper water. Brief discussion ensued re: utilizing a mooring.

Mr. Westgate asked re: Mr. Pichette's issue w/ the thick section of pier that is between the stone wharf & the floats. Mr. Grady explained why this section is the way it is & it has been explored. The square footage & length of the floats will be increased. Much time has been taken to explore the type of float system being proposed. He distributed handouts re: the type of float system & a letter in support of the project from one of the abutters. He again noted this float system will accommodate 15 residents, not just one. He discussed the float system specs & how it allows for more light penetration. Brief discussion ensued re: the manufacturer of the float system to be utilized that assists w/ light penetration.

Discussion ensued re: storage of the float system in off season. It was stated it will be stored in the boat house.

Audience members were asked for questions or comments.

Present before the Commission: Dick Longton

Mr. Longton is one of the shareholders of Bay Trust. He discussed what Bay Trust has decided in this matter. Bay Trust decided to accommodate an equal vessel for every shareholder. 15 shareholders=15 dingys. The Trust wants to restrict the boats to dingys. There will be no larger boats in or on the dock. The larger boats would discharge their load only on the face of the dock. He stated if an Order of Conditions was to be generated, it should note in the OOC that this is to be a dingy dock only. He asked for consideration as to what is there presently.

Ms. Slavin clarified that an additional 40 ft. of float system would go out into Onset Bay. She asked if there is any way of shortening one of the floats. Brief discussion ensued. Mr. Westgate asked if it would be possible to have placed in the deed that in the future, this dock would not be altered as far as its use. In other words, to be utilized as a dingy dock in perpetuity. If this were the case, he wouldn't have a problem w/ this. If not, he would then consider dealing w/ shortening the square footage of the system. Mr. Grady stated he will bring this matter back to the Trust members.

Mr. Grady spoke re: the eel grass matter & stated they have not done a study. Discussion ensued re: what the Bylaw states relative to eel grass.

Mr. Pichette stated the floating system that is currently being utilized was never permitted by the State. Mr. Grady stated the stone wharf is licensed & the floating system has been there for a considerable amount of time. Mr. Pichette stated in the 1990's, there was an amnesty timeframe in which if there was an unlicensed structure, they could apply to get them properly permitted & not have to adhere to certain standards. In this case, this wasn't done. Now the float system needs to adhere to present standards. Mr. Grady discussed getting the new proposed structure licensed.

Mr. Westgate asked if there have been any recent studies of the eel grass in this area. Mr. Grady stated not that he is aware of. He feels the maps are in the process of being upgraded now.

MOTION: Mr. Baptiste moved to continue the public hearing for Bay Trust to March 2, 2011. Mr. Caron seconded.

VOTE: Unanimous (6-0-0)

E. NOI – Mark & Sally Woodbury, c/o J.C. Engineering, Inc. – SE76-2161

The public hearing notice was read into the record.

Present before the Commission: Mike Pimental, J.C. Engineering, Inc.
Mark Woodbury

Mr. Pichette described the project. The property is located at 8 Carmichael Way. The project involves upgrading of a septic system in the buffer zone to Blackmore Pond & to wetland that exists on the other side of Carmichael Way. An existing cesspool will be replaced with a new Title V system. The proposed leach field will be a raised system surrounded by a Keystone retaining wall which would be approx. 3 ft. above grade. The new leach field would be approx. 90 ft. from the edge of the pond. There is a wetland that exists across the street that this would also be in the buffer zone to. The new leach field would be approx. 30 ft. from that area. Haybales will be placed between the resource areas & the proposed work. A DEP file number has been assigned. There are several similar systems on neighboring lots on this road. They all have the same issues w/ high ground water & raised system setups. There are not a lot of alternatives for these sites re: failing septic systems. He recommended approval of this project w/ standard conditions.

Audience members had no questions or comments.

MOTION: Mr. Westgate moved to close the public hearing for Mark & Sally Woodbury. Mr. Caron seconded.

VOTE: Unanimous (6-0-0)

MOTION: Mr. Westgate moved to grant an Order of Conditions w/ standard conditions for Mark & Sally Woodbury. Mr. Baptiste seconded.

VOTE: Unanimous (6-0-0)

F. NOI – Sara & Robert Forest, Jr., c/o Webby Engineering, Inc. – SE76-2163

The public hearing notice was read into the record.

Present before the Commission: Mr. Webby, Webby Engineering, Inc.
Bob Forest

Mr. Pichette described the project. The property is located at 9 Wren Terrace. The project involves the construction of a single family dwelling w/ associated structures in the buffer zone to bordering vegetative wetlands & also partially w/in a coastal flood zone. There has been an existing foundation on the property for a number of years. The current property owner purchased the property recently from the Town at auction w/ the intention of building on the existing foundation. The area is not on Town sewer & there

isn't enough room on the site to locate a new septic system & meet the wetland setback under the Town Bylaw for new construction which is a 100 ft. setback. The proposed system would only be approx. 51 ft. to the wetland. There are also two concrete retaining walls proposed. There isn't any limit of work identified on the plan or any erosion control measures depicted on the plan either. A DEP file number has been assigned, but he recommended the Commission deny the project because the project does not meet the requirements of the Wareham Bylaw for setback for new septic system to wetlands nor does the plan include the other items he mentioned. In his opinion, the project doesn't meet the terms of the Bylaw.

Mr. Baptiste expressed concern that the Town is selling property & saying it is buildable when it is not. Mr. Westgate feels at this last auction, all the properties were stipulated as non-buildable. Mr. Pichette stated it wasn't stated one way or the other. Mr. Westgate stated that Mr. Forest met w/ Mr. Pichette who told Mr. Forest that under the Bylaw it wasn't a "go" project. Mr. Forest stated Mr. Pichette didn't say whether or not it was a buildable lot, he just told him that a septic system couldn't be put there. He stated the Board of Health approved a septic. Mr. Westgate stated this being said, it still would not be conforming to the Commission's Bylaw & Mr. Pichette told Mr. Forest that it was not a buildable lot based on the Bylaw. Mr. Pichette stated he had a clear conversation w/ Mr. Forest about this matter & Mr. Forest's response was "if that's the case then that is fine & I will just sit on it for a few years until Town sewer comes in". He stated it was a clear understanding that he explained that under the Bylaw, this project would not conform to the Bylaw & this was understood prior to Mr. Forest buying the lot.

Mr. Pichette asked how this project was presented to the Board of Health. He stated he spoke to the BOH Agent & was given the impression that the BOH was told that this project was all set w/ Conservation. He is not sure what was said, but this is what the BOH Agent indicated to him.

Audience members had no questions or comments.

MOTION: Mr. Westgate moved to close the public hearing for Sara & Robert Forest. Mr. Carboni seconded.

VOTE: Unanimous (6-0-0)

MOTION: Mr. Westgate moved to deny the NOI application for Sara & Robert Forest as stated by the Agent relative to the project being non-conforming w/ the Commission's Bylaw. Mr. Baptiste seconded.

Brief discussion ensued re: new construction. Mr. Pichette explained that the new construction has to do w/ the septic system & the Bylaw specifically states that it is the new septic system that the Bylaw regulates, not a house, garage, etc. This is the issue; the septic system.

VOTE: Unanimous (6-0-0)

G. Amend OOC – Robert & Margaret Perry – SE76-2080

The public hearing notice was read into the record.

Present before the Commission: Robert Perry

Mr. Pichette described the project. The property is located at 29 Squirrel Island Rd. The request is to amend an OOC to allow a revised plan which proposes a retaining wall in the buffer zone to bordering vegetated wetland that was previously not shown on the original plan. The applicant has an OOC which permitted the construction of an in-ground pool & landscaping in the buffer zone to bordering vegetative wetland. The applicant is now requesting to now be able to construct a stone retaining wall along the edge of the lawn to the west of the pool. The wall would be approx. 200 ft. in length. There is an existing dirt access road between the proposed wall & wetland on the other side of the access road. The stone wall would be approx. 2-3 ft. in height & there would be some backfilling behind the wall. He stated there would need to be new erosion control put in place prior to commencement of the construction of the wall.

Mr. Perry stated the reason he wants the wall there is because every time it rains, the dirt washes down.

Audience members had no questions or comments.

MOTION: Mr. Baptiste moved to close the public hearing for Robert & Margaret Perry. Mr. Westgate seconded.

VOTE: Unanimous (6-0-0)

MOTION: Mr. Baptiste moved to grant an Amended Order of Conditions for Robert & Margaret Perry.

VOTE: Unanimous (6-0-0)

V. CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARINGS

- A. NOI – James Nee, Jr., c/o Collins Civil Engineering Group, Inc. – SE76-2159 (DONE)
- B. NOI – Rose Cherubini, c/o G.A.F. Engineering, Inc. – SE76-2158 (DONE)
- C. NOI – John Bessette, c/o J.C. Engineering, Inc. – SE76-2157 (DONE)

VI. EXTENSION REQUESTS

VII. ENFORCEMENT ORDERS

VIII. CERTIFICATES OF COMPLIANCE

A. Margaret Grassi – 1188 Main Street

Mr. Connolly stated the applicant came in & the lawyer submitted the request for a COC. The Commission had a back order that this property was supposed to be cleaned up. There seems to have been some mis-communication. He & Mr. Pichette met w/ the applicant so everyone is on the same page. This COC is so the entity can re-finance the home so they can do what they need to do. They have until May to clean it up. Mr. Pichette stated this applicant is coming back to the Commission because a new OOC is needed to put in a new septic.

MOTION: Mr. Westgate moved to grant a Certificate of Compliance for Margaret Grassi. Mr. Caron seconded.

**VOTE: (5-0-1)
Mr. Baptiste abstained**

Mr. Pichette stated the reason for the COC is that the OOC lapsed, is no longer valid, & nothing was done at the property.

B. Wareham Plaza – 127 Marion Road

Mr. Pichette stated this is for Shaw's Plaza. The COC was already issued on the main project some time back. This request is for the second phase of work re: placing the guardrail in at the truck turn around. The guardrail has been put in & the fence is where it is supposed to be. The only issue is sections of fence have been damaged by vehicles hitting it (up against the retention pond) due to snow, plowing, etc. All work has been done according to what was required.

MOTION: Mr. Westgate moved to grant a Certificate of Compliance for Wareham Plaza. Mr. Baptiste seconded.

VOTE: Unanimous (6-0-0)

C. Paul Vieira – 4 Canedy Street

Mr. Pichette stated this is for a house reconstruction. Everything has been done according to the OOC.

MOTION: Mr. Westgate moved to grant a Certificate of Compliance for Paul Vieira. Mr. Baptiste seconded.

VOTE: Unanimous (6-0-0)

D. Scott Gomes – 9 Wareham Lakeshore Drive

Mr. Pichette stated this project was one of those situations where the permit was issued eight to ten years ago, did the project, but never obtained the COC. This project has been done for a number of years & is in conformance.

MOTION: Mr. Baptiste moved to grant a Certificate of Compliance for Scott Gomes. Mr. Caron seconded.

VOTE: Unanimous (6-0-0)

IX. ANY OTHER BUSINESS/DISCUSSION

A. Discussion – Eagle Holt.

Present before the Commission: Brian Grady, G.A.F. Engineering, Inc.

Mr. Pichette stated this matter deals w/ the water line that Eagle Holt put in from the old Whitehead Pond over to their bog. This was approved, but what has happened is it was proposed to be in one location & when it was put in, Eagle Holt slightly moved it over because there was a stand of trees they didn't want to have to cut down. When it was moved, the line was put close to part of the drainage work that was in the Edgewood Development subdivision. Mr. Grady is present to ask if the Commission would have an issue if they took the structure & shifted it five ft. so it won't interfere w/ the drainage pipe. He feels this is a minor issue.

MOTION: Mr. Baptiste moved to approve the minor modification for Eagle Holt Co. Mr. Caron seconded.

VOTE: Unanimous (6-0-0)

NOTE: The meeting proceeded w/ item I. Notice to remove Chapter 61A Land.

Mr. Pichette explained there is a piece of land behind Beaver Meadows; a piece of bog that is being requested to be taken out of 61A status. It is going to be sold for conservation purposes. Part of the process is it needs to be taken out of 61A because it is part of a grant program. Brief discussion ensued.

MOTION: Mr. Westgate moved that the Conservation Commission at this time does not have an interest in purchasing the property deemed 61A. Ms. Slavin seconded for discussion.

Mr. Grady stated the ownership has changed & a conservation restriction is being sold. Mr. Pichette stated if the land is coming out of 61A, the Town has the right to purchase the property.

Ms. Slavin stated there is a new board associated w/ land acquisition. She questioned since this is a conservation restriction, would this matter need to go before this new board. Mr. Pichette stated the new board has to do w/ land donations. Ms. Slavin asked if this matter has been brought before the Wareham Land Trust. Mr. Grady stated right now, the CR will be owned by the United States of America Dept. of Agriculture. Mr. Pichette stated there has been talk that the Land Trust is looking to purchase the land. Ms. Slavin clarified the intention is to take this land out of Chapter 61A, place a CR on it, & money would come from the Federal Government, to switch the land to non-usage.

VOTE: Unanimous (6-0-0)

B. Wareham Land Trust – Fearing Hill Road.

Mr. Pichette stated the Wareham Land Trust is interested in coming in & starting to rehab, develop, or cut out the trail on Fearing Hill Rd. He informed the Land Trust that the trail goes through wetlands & therefore, someone needs to come in & file a request if work is to be done in the wetland area. The Land Trust will be coming up w/ a request that includes all work to be done. Brief discussion ensued.

Discussion ensued re: widening the roadway into the property & rehabbing the trail.

C. MACC Annual Conference.

Mr. Pichette asked if there is anyone else that wants to attend. If anyone is interested, should submit the paperwork to him.

D. MACC Annual Dues.

Mr. Pichette stated the Commission received its annual MACC dues bill in the amount of \$218.00.

MOTION: Mr. Baptiste moved to authorize payment of the MACC dues bill in the amount of \$218.00. Mr. Westgate seconded.

VOTE: Unanimous (6-0-0)

E. Emergency Certificate: Bourne – Edgewater Drive.

Mr. Pichette stated the party hasn't come in as of yet as they said they were going to & follow up w/ an NOI. He needs to speak to Mr. Grady of G.A.F. Engineering to see what the status is.

F. Swifts Beach Conservation Property.

Ms. Slavin stated the Swifts Beach Homeowner's Association is looking hard at the possibility of them holding the CR. She noted three versions of the CR.

G. Discussion: Snow Disposal Guidance.

Mr. Pichette stated he & Mr. Connolly have been discussing the issue of people taking snow buildup & pushing into wetlands, rivers, etc. The DEP has issued a Snow Disposal Guidance booklet & has distributed to all Commission members.

H. StormSmart Coasts Workshop – February 17, 2011.

Mr. Pichette will be attending.

I. Notice to Remove Chapter 61A Land. (DONE)

X. ADJOURNMENT

MOTION: Mr. Westgate moved to adjourn the meeting. Ms. Slavin seconded.

VOTE: Unanimous (6-0-0)

Date signed: 1-4-2012

Attest: [Signature]

John Connolly, Chairman

WAREHAM CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Date copy sent to Town Clerk: 1/5/12